

Cleveland State University



Biogeography-Based Optimization Combined with Evolutionary Strategy and Immigration Refusal

Dawei Du, Dan Simon, and Mehmet Ergezer

**2009 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and
Cybernetics**

10/12/2009

Supported by NSF Grant 0826124

Outline

1. BBO introduction
2. Modification of BBO based on evolutionary strategy (ES) and immigration refusal (RE)
3. Simulation results
4. Statistic analysis based on F-tests and T-tests
5. Conclusion and future work

Biogeography Based Optimization

- Heuristic algorithms are used to solve complex optimization problems which are hard to solve using traditional methods.
- Biogeography-based optimization (BBO) was invented by Dr. Simon in 2008.

Modified BBO based on ES and RE

- In order to improve the performance of BBO, modification of BBO is necessary.
- Two techniques are used:
 - One is borrowed from evolutionary strategy (ES)
 - The other is created by myself - immigration refusal (RE).

Evolution Strategy

- Evolutionary strategy was created by students at the Technical University of Berlin.
- It is one of the classic optimization techniques among heuristic methods.

- The procedure of ES:
 1. Define α parents, β children.
 2. Initialize the population.
 3. Use α parents to form β children.
 4. Perform mutation on all the children.
 6. Out of the $\alpha + \beta$ individuals, select α individuals as the new population.
 7. If the termination criterion is not met, go to step 3; otherwise, terminate.

Immigration refusal theory

- If an island receives immigration from an island which has a bad performance:
 - The probability of degrading the performance is larger than the probability of improving the performance.
- Therefore, immigration from a bad performance island should be denied.
- This is called immigration refusal.

- In immigration refusal, the immigrating island only accepts immigration from a better island, and this island should also satisfy some thresholds which can be defined by the user.
- For example, the threshold can be accepting immigration only from top 10 islands.

Simulation

- Simulation details
 - Number of Monte Carlo simulations: 100
 - Number of islands (individuals): 100
 - Number of SIVs (Dimensions) per island: 20
 - Generations per Monte Carlo simulation: 100
 - Mutation probability: 0.005
 - Elitism parameter: 1

- BBO algorithms:
 - Original BBO
 - BBO with features borrowed from ES (BBO/ES)
 - BBO with immigration refusal (BBO/RE)
 - BBO with features borrowed from ES and immigration refusal (BBO/ES/RE)

Best performance of different BBOs in 20 dimensional benchmarks after 100 Monte Carlo simulations

	BBO	BBO/ES	BBO/RE	BBO/ES/RE
Ackley	3.56	1.34	3.03	1.42
Fletcher	9570.10	4503.96	6216.63	2248.52
Griewank	1.40	1.04	1.42	1.07
Penalty #1	1.05	0.04	1.10	0.03
Penalty #2	4.07	0.46	4.56	0.51
Quartic	3.68E-04	4.81E-06	2.22E-04	6.33E-06
Rastrigin	1.93	0.00	4.04	0.00
Rosenbrock	17.83	12.80	21.41	13.44
Schwefel 1.2	51.41	9.52	28.69	12.10
Schwefel 2.21	680.93	654.65	866.16	889.69
Schwefel 2.22	0.80	0.10	0.70	0.10
Schwefel 2.26	10.70	8.40	10.50	9.30
Sphere	0.16	0.00	0.12	0.01
Step	62.00	7.00	39.00	7.00

F-test and T-test

- How can we determine if the differences between groups are statistically significant?
- Two statistical methods are used to analyze the differences between different BBO algorithms:
 - F-tests
 - T-tests

F-test values and thresholds

Benchmarks	F-test value	Threshold		
		$P = 0.001$	$P = 0.01$	$P = 0.05$
Ackley	6.23	5.51	3.82	2.62
Fletcher	0.29			
Griewank	3.74			
Penalty #1	3.65			
Penalty #2	0.16			
Quartic	1.64			
Rastrigin	5.40			
Rosenbrock	0.20			
Schwefel 1.2	2.90			
Schwefel 2.21	0.05			
Schwefel 2.22	3.14			
Schwefel 2.26	0.29			
Sphere	4.04			
Step	3.68			

T-test values and Probability

	BBO and BBO/ES		BBO and BBO/RE	
	Pro	T-test	Pro	T-test
Ackley	9.34E-04	3.15	0.25	0.66
Fletcher	0.30	0.52	0.39	0.27
Griewank	0.01	2.23	0.31	0.49
Penalty #1	0.01	2.25	0.20	0.85
Penalty #2	0.35	0.37	0.38	0.32
Quartic	0.07	1.50	0.32	0.46
Rastrigin	1.86E-03	2.94	0.37	0.32
Rosenbrock	0.27	0.63	0.41	0.22
Schwefel 1.2	0.01	2.37	0.34	0.42
Schwefel 2.21	0.47	0.07	0.36	0.36
Schwefel 2.22	8.44E-03	2.41	0.34	0.42
Schwefel 2.26	0.24	0.71	0.34	0.41
Sphere	3.46E-03	2.73	0.32	0.46
Step	7.37E-03	2.46	0.28	0.60

Conclusion

- The modification of BBO based on ES produce a significant improvement on the fitness performance.
- F-tests and T-tests provide statistical support.

Future Work

- Tuning parameters
- More benchmarks
- Applying to real-world problem

Cleveland State University



End

Thank you !

Questions ?

Reference

- [1] D. Simon, “Biogeography-Based Optimization,” IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation, Vol. 12, No. 6, pp. 702–713, December 2008.
- [2] D. Simon, “A Probabilistic Analysis of a Simplified Biogeography-Based Optimization Algorithm,” February 2009.
- [3] J. Kennedy and R. Eberhart, Swarm Intelligence, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, 2001.
- [4] H. Beyer and H. Schwefel, Natural Computing, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2002.