

Table 1. DISCRIMINANT FUNCTIONS

| | Standardized Canonical Discriminant Function Coefficients | | | Structure Matrix | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| | Function | | | Function | | |
| Independent Variables | "Old School Habits" | "Classic Film Lover Habits" | "Millennial Habits" | "Old School Habits" | "Classic Film Lover Habits" | "Millennial Habits" |
| Q3c. Read a magazine | 0.500 | 0.359 | 0.290 | .602* | 0.394 | 0.255 |
| Q29b. I'm more a traditionalist, preferring to read physical copies of books, magazines and newspapers rather than digital versions. | -0.380 | 0.114 | 0.138 | -.467* | 0.151 | 0.105 |
| Q29s. I like to see films and TV programs from other countries. | -0.439 | 0.373 | -0.018 | -.462* | 0.357 | -0.101 |
| Q22a. How important The genre of the film. | 0.422 | 0.188 | -0.424 | .407* | 0.134 | -0.332 |
| Q22d. How important The recency of the film's release/how new the film is. | -0.187 | -0.675 | 0.171 | -0.063 | -.686* | 0.243 |
| Q22c. How important The star(s) of the film. | 0.057 | -0.043 | -0.222 | 0.098 | -.278* | -0.192 |
| Q28a. I often watch videos on my cell phone. | 0.318 | -0.182 | 0.536 | 0.270 | -0.297 | .481* |
| Q13i. Film in a theater-A friend recommended the film. | -0.042 | 0.306 | 0.460 | -0.041 | 0.418 | .449* |
| Q29o. I generally think of myself as a happy person. | 0.176 | -0.195 | -0.219 | 0.243 | -0.278 | -.320* |
| Q22e. How important The country the film is from. | -0.041 | 0.126 | 0.477 | 0.030 | -0.233 | .316* |

Table 2. GROUP STATISTICS

| Behavioral Response to Expectancy Violation | Function | | |
|---|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| | "Old School Habits" | "Classic Film Lover Habits" | "Millennial Habits" |
| Influencers | 0.248 | -0.166 | -0.380 |
| Reflectors | -0.307 | 0.500 | -0.119 |
| Changers | 0.544 | 0.147 | 0.243 |
| Flexibles | -0.241 | -0.186 | 0.120 |
| | | | |
| Wilks' Lambda | 0.801 | 0.891 | 0.952 |
| Chi-square | 69.384 | 36.094 | 15.354 |
| Sig. | <0.001 | 0.007 | 0.053 |
| Eigenvalue | .112a | .069a | .050a |
| Canonical Correlation | 0.318 | 0.253 | 0.219 |

a. First 3 canonical discriminant functions were used in the analysis.

Table 3. CLASSIFICATION RESULTS

| Observed Group | Size | Predicted Group Membership | | | |
|-----------------|------|----------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| | | Influencers | Reflectors | Changers | Flexibles |
| Influencers | 66 | 27 | 13 | 14 | 12 |
| Reflectors | 55 | 8 | 29 | 9 | 9 |
| Changers | 62 | 12 | 10 | 30 | 10 |
| Flexibles | 138 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 52 |
| Ungrouped cases | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Totals | 326 | 77 | 83 | 82 | 84 |

$$\text{Press's } Q = \frac{[N-(nK)]^2}{N(K-1)}$$

where:

N Sample size

K Number of groups

n number of observations correctly classified

N 321

K 4

n 138

$$\text{Press's } Q = 55.41$$