FINAL CODING FRAME:

A) OVERVIEW OF THE CODING FRAME:

V1	Country			
V2	Item number			
V3	Coder			
V4	Newspaper name			
V5	Month			
V6	Day of month			
V7	Year			
	Narrative function	Rho	etorical func	tion
		Logos	Pathos	Ethos
V8	Size of the article			X
V9	News format			У
V9 V10ab		8		
V9 V10ab V11	News format The author Focus	Y		Я Я
V9 V10ab V11 III The story	News format The author Focus			Я Я
V9 V10ab V11 III The story Who	News format The author Focus	8	8	Я Я
V9 V10ab V11 III The story Who V12ab V13abcdef	News format The author Focus Main actor/Another important actor Rating scales of attributes of main actor		8	Я Я
V9 V10ab V11 III The story Who V12ab V13abcdef	News format The author Focus Main actor/Another important actor Rating scales of attributes of main actor with responsibility		8	Я Я
V9 V10ab V11 III The story Who V12ab V13abcdef	News format The author Focus Main actor/Another important actor Rating scales of attributes of main actor with responsibility og what	X		Я Я

V17	Location of events	R	X	
V18	Location of effects	R	R	
When				
V19	Time horizon into the future	R	X	
V20	Time horizon into the past	X	X	

To wh	aat effect			
V21	Likelihood of risks/costs		В	
V21.	Main type of risk / cost		8	
V23	Main sufferer of the BSE/CJD crisis		8	
V24	Likelihood of benefit		Υ V	
V25	Main type of benefit		R	
V26	Main winner of the BSE / CJD crisis		R	
gets what of w	and evaluations: actor networks (who rhom?)			
V27	Area of demand (a)	R		
V28	Author of demand (a)	R		
V29	Addressee of demand (a)	R		
V30	Area of demand (b)	R		
V31	Author of demand (b)	R		
V32	Addressee of demand (b)	R		
V33	Author of evaluation (a)	Х		
V34	Direction of evaluation (a)	Х		
V35	Addressee of evaluation (a)	R		
V36	Author of evaluation (b)	У		
V37	Direction of evaluation (b)	У		
V38	Addressee of evaluation (b)	У		
	ereotypes and citations	V		
V39abc	Frames	R) (
V40 V41	Treatment Stereotype of scientist presented		Х	R
V41	Type of controversy		R R	
V42 V43	Personalization		A o	
V43			O	¥
V44 V45ab	Cross-reference (explicit inter-textuality)			χ
v 45au	Form of expert citation Contextualisation of expert citation:			R
V46a	(Relation among sources)			Y
V46b	(Relation between source and author)			Ϋ́

V47abcd	Warranty of argument		R

B) CODING FRAME TO TEST

I.- Basic information

V1: COUNTRY

Britain 1
Finland 2
Germany 3
Italy 4

V2: ITEM NUMBER (coder number + 4 digits)

<u>Commentary</u>: Identification number of the article. Each article should be assigned an identification number. To make analysis easier, let us use the country number + 4 digits, e.g. Britain will use numbers 10000-19999, Finland 20000-29999, etc.

V3: CODER (country code + coder)

<u>Commentary</u>: Identification number of coder. In some countries several coders may be at work so each coder should be identified. Use (country code + a running number), e.g. UK coders are '1' for country plus one digit for coders hence 11, 12, 13, etc...

V4: NEWSPAPER NAME (country code + 1 digit)

<u>Commentary</u>: The first digit indicates the country, e.g. 1 for Britain. The second digit indicates the name of the newspaper.

V5: MONTH (2 digits)

<u>Commentary</u>: month when the article was published. Number the months from Jan=1 to Dec=12.

V6: DAY OF MONTH (2 digits)

Commentary: day of the month when the article was published. Number of days 1 through 31.

V7: YEAR (2 digits)

Commentary: use a 2-digit number: (85) or (99).

II.- NEWS PLAY

V8: SIZE OF THE ARTICLE

Small 1
Medium 2
Large 3

<u>Commentary</u>: With this variable we intend to roughly measure the editorial importance given to the article, used to attract the readers attention. What is small or large is obviously relative to the size of the newspaper. Define according to local practice.

V9: NEWS FORMAT

Article with latest news	1
Investigation, reportage, background	2
Interview mainly	3
Editorial (paper's editor)	4
Letters to the editor/ Readers letters	5
Column	6
Comment	7
Other	8

<u>Commentary</u>: We are attempting a distinction between facts, opinion and interview. This may not apply equally well in all countries as traditions of journalistic classifications may differ.

V10ab: THE AUTHOR

<u>Commentary</u>: as coder might not know how to classify the authors, and they could prove an endless list to pre-code, the name and surname of the authors should be typed in a string format. Once identified the main authors, they should be re-coded in the following manner.

a) String variable:

b) to be re-coded into:

Science journalists	1
Non-science journalists	2
Scientists, experts	3
Non-scientists	4
News agency/ Wire services (i.e. Reuters)	5
No signature, anonymous, unknown	6
Others	7

V11: FOCUS:

Main BSE/CJD focus 1
Other story with BSE/CJD reference 2

<u>Commentary</u>: there are two type of articles clearly distinct: those with a focus on the BSE/CJD issue, and articles with a different focus, where the reference to BSE/CJD appear in the passing. When the articles focus secondarily on BSE/CJD (code 2), the information collected of the articles should be focused on the section that talks about BSE/CJD specifically.

III.- The story

<u>Who</u>

V12ab: MAIN ACTOR

For internation	nal comparative analysis	For national analysis		
0. No actor mentioned				
1 st level of analysis	2 nd level of analysis	3 rd level of analysis		
1. Public sector	11. Local/Regional government/ authorities	1101. The government		
	12. National/Federal governments/ authorities- Executives	1201. The government 1202. Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (MAFF) in general 1203. MAFF State Veterinary Service (SVS) 1204. MAFF Animal Health Group 1205. Department of Trade & Industry 1206. Ministry of Health 1207. Other		
	13. National/Federal governments/ authorities- Legislative	1301. Parliament 1302. House of Commons 1303. House of Lords 1304. Agriculture Select Committee 1305. Other		
	14. Research centres	1401. Agricultural and Food Research Council (AFRC) / Biotechnology and Biological Science Research Council (BBSRC) 1402. Neuropathogenesis Unit-Edinburg (NPU) 1403. Medical Research Council (MRC) 1404. University labs. 1405. Individual scientists 1406. Surveillance 1407. SEAC 1408. Other		
	15. Parties and politicians	1501. The government 1502. Former Ministers 1503. Labour party 1504. Conservative party 1505. Liberal party		
	16. Official Experts	1601. The government 1602. Southwood Working Party 1603. Tyrrell Committee 1604. Medical profession 1605. Individuals (Lacey, Lang, etc) 1606. Government chief scientist. 1607. BSE Enquiry 1608. Other		
2. Private sector	21. Producers	2101. Farmers in general 2102. Organic farmers 2103. Meat industry 2104. Meat related industry (i.e. dairy) 2105. Food and Drink federation 2106. Meat & Livestock Commission 2107. Pet food industries 2108. Animal food industries 2109. National farmers union 2110. Rendering industry. 2111. Pharmaceutical industry. 2112. Chefs, restaurants, hotels. 2113. Other		
	22. Consumers	2201. Consumers Association 2202. Consumers in general		

1		
		2302. British Retailers consortium. 2303. Retailers in general 2304. Meat traders 2305. Butchers in general 2306. Other
	24. Independent research centres / universities	2401. Scientists 2402. Medics 2403. Institutions 2404. Other
	25. NGOs	2501. Friends of the Earth 2502. Vegetarian organisations 2503. Animal protection organisations 2504. Other NGOs
	26. Others	
3. The public	31. Media	3101. Scientific journals 3102. Newspapers and magazines 3103. TV 3104. Other
	32. The "public"	3201. Families with children 3202. CJD victims and family 3203. Blood donors 3204. The Vegetarians 3205. Others
	33. Animal victims	3301. Cindy 3302. Karla 3303. Other
4. International actors	41. Organisations	4101. WHO 4102. FAO 4103. Other UN 4104. WTO 4105. Other int. organisations
	42. EU	4201. EU in general 4202. European Parliament 4203. European Commission 4204. European Commissioners from own State 4205. European Commissioners from other States 4206. European Inspectors 4207. European Standing Veterinary Committee 4208. Agricultural Council 4209. Scientific Veterinary Committee 4210. Consumer Committee 4211. Other
	43. NGOs	4301. Green-peace 4302. Amnesty International 4303. Other International NGOs
	44. Local/Regional governments/authorities 45. National/Federal governments/authorities	(use v17 list. i.e. Italian media would code= 5307)
	46. Research centres	
	47. Parties and politicians	
	48. Official experts	
	49. Producers	
	50. Consumers	
	51. Distributors	
	52. Independent research centres/ universities	
	53. Media	
	54. The public	
I	55. Other	

<u>Commentary</u>: Various actors may be mentioned. Code the main actor defined as the one in the lead of the story in (a) and another important actor –if any- in (b). Use primarily codes from third level, only use code from second/first level if no distinction can be made.

a: Main Actor	
o: Another important actor	

V13abcdef:	RATING SCALE	OF ATTRI	BUTES	OF MAI	N ACTOF	R WITH RES	SPONSIBILITY
N/A 0	a. Unreliable	1	2	3	4	5	Reliable
N/A 0	b. Secretive	1	2	3	4	5	Disclousive
N/A 0	c. Incompetent	1	2	3	4	5	Competent
N/A 0	d. Irresponsible	1	2	3	4	5	Responsible
N/A 0	e. Not powerful	1	2	3	4	5	Powerful
N/A 0	f. Uncritical/ self rightness	1	2	3	4	5	Self critical

Doing what

V14abc: MAIN THEME/EVENT/ACTIVITY (three codings)

Dissemination of	Cover-up	01
information	New evidence of BSE/CJD	02
	Call for full information	03
	Public health information	04
	Public expenditure	05
Legislation,	Ban/limit of British beef / dairy products	06
control &	Ban/limit feeding meat to farm animals	07
regulations	Exports limitation	08
	Imports limitation	09
	Lifting the ban	10
	Enforcing/implementation of control scheme.	11
	Labels / designation of origin	12
	More/new national regulation on food control	13
	Less national regulation on food control	14
	More/new EU regulation on food control	15
	Less EU regulation on food control	16
Research	Research study (setting up, reporting)	17
	Intra species transmission mechanisms (i.e. cow to cow)	18
	Inter animal transmission mechanisms (i.e. cow to sheep)	19
	BSE/CJD link	20
	The agent (prions)	21
	Other immediate causes	22
	Independent research study	23
Public Reflections	Public confidence in science and experts	24
	Attributing responsibility for the crisis	25
	Reputation / viability of national actor	26
	Reputation / viability of the EU	27
	Viability of farming, food production	28
	Survey data / opinion poll	29
	Public enquiry (setting up, reporting, dismantling a Commission, testifying, giving evidence)	30
	Attention on public opinion in general	31

A new BSE/CJD case	32
Beef prices and level of consumption	33
Other	34

<u>Commentary</u>: Most articles will cover several themes/events. We code maximum three themes/events, in increasing order of importance.

V15: FOOD CATEGORY IN WHICH THE PROBLEM IS ADDRESSED

Meat (in general)	1
Fleshy meat	2
Meat on bone	3
Offal	4
Diary	5
Pet food	6
Gelatines – derivatives	7
Cosmetic	8
Organic production	9
Pharmaceutical	10
Unspecified reference	11
Other	12
Mixed	13
None	14

V16abcd: LINK OF BSE WITH OTHER FOOD/OTHER SCARES

CJD	1
Salmonella (i.e. egg)	2
Botulism	3
Escherichia coli 0157	4
Listeria	5
Food poisoning (in general)	6
'Food' (in general)	7
Genetically modified food (GMF)	8
Bacillus	9
Staphyloccae	10
Scrapie	11
Other	12
No link	13

<u>Commentary</u>: register explicit links only. Code a maximum of four links, giving priority to the most mentioned.

Where

V17: LOCATIONS OF EVENT

"Europe"	01
The "EU" (geographically)	02
United Kingdom	03
Belgium	04
Germany	05
France	06
Italy	07
Ireland	80
Portugal	09
Spain	10
Sweden	11
Austria	12
Finland	13
Brussels / Strasbourg (when mentioned as an international forum of discussion and not as the capital of the country)	14
Other EU countries	15
Non-EU Europe	16
Switzerland	17
Other Non-EU Europe	18
Latin America	19
USA	20
Other countries	21
"The World"	22
Other location	23
Unspecified	24

<u>Commentary</u>: this variable should state the place where the main event in the story takes place.

V18: LOCATIONS OF EFFECTS

<u>Commentary</u>: This variable should state, according to the story, where the effects are mainly being felt. Code location as in V17.

When

V19: Time horizon into the future:

None	0
Future, up-to 1 year	1
Up to 5 years	2
Up to 15 years	3

Public perceptions of BSE and CJD risk in Europe

More than 15 years 4 Unspecified 5

<u>Commentary</u>: this variable register the time span considered in the story regarding the future.

V20: Time horizon into the past:

None	0
Past, up-to 1 year	1
Up to 5 years	2
Up to 15 years	3
More than 15 years	4
Unspecified	5

Commentary: this variable register the time span considered in the story regarding the past.

To what effect

V21: LIKELIHOOD OF RISK / COST(Negative consequences)

Not mentioned	0	_ go to V24
Possible / uncertain / unknown (mentioned, but not quantified)		
Possible (quantified):		
Very unlikely	2	
Rather unlikely	3	
Rather likely	4	
Very likely	5	
Certain	6	

V22: MAIN TYPE OF RISK / COST (Negative consequences)

Not mentioned	0
Material, economic/financial, development	01
Health	02
Legal	03
Social inequality	04
Moral, ethical	05
Environment, ecological	06
Trade war	07
Consumers rights	80
International status quo	09
Loss of credibility	10
Animal welfare	11
Cultural, symbolic, moral	12
Scientific	13
Political, power	14
Other	15

<u>Commentary</u>: various risk/costs may be mentioned. Code only one: the main one, in the lead of the story.

V23: MAIN SUFFERER OF BSE/CJD CRISIS:

<u>Commentary</u>: code actor as in V12. If various sufferers are mentioned, the coder should prioritise the actor that is mostly linked to the risk coded in V22.

V24: LIKELIHOOD OF BENEFIT (Positive consequences)

Not mentioned	0 _ go to V2	7
Possible / uncertain / unknown (mentioned, but not quantified)	1	
Possible (quantified):		
Very unlikely	2	
Rather unlikely	3	
Rather likely	4	
Very likely	5	
Certain	6	

V25: MAIN TYPE OF BENEFIT (Positive consequences)

Not mentioned	0
Material, economic/financial, development	1
Health	2
Legal	3
Social, well being	4
Moral, ethical	5
Environment, ecological	6
Trade increase	7
Consumers rights	8
International status quo	9
Gain of credibility	10
Animal welfare	11
Cultural, symbolic, moral	12
Scientific	13
Political, power	14
Other	15

<u>Commentary</u>: various benefits may be mentioned. Code only one: the main one, in the lead of the story.

V26: MAIN WINNER OF THE BSE/CJD CRISIS:

Commentary: code actor as in V12. If various winners are mentioned, the coder should prioritise the actor that is mostly linked to the risk coded in V25.

V.- FRAMES AND STEREOTYPES

V39a: MAIN FRAME

<u>Commentary</u>: we suggest to use the term "frame" with the following preliminary definition: a frame is a structure that:

- 1) organises central ideas on an issue (name of the frame),
- 2) deploys particular symbolic devices (metaphors), and
- 3) defines a particular polarity in controversy within itself; an agreement about how to disagree (the polarity).
- 4) Frames tend to have particular sponsors. Sponsor is an actor that is likely to engage the issue under a particular frame (sponsor).

A frame's function is to construct meaning, incorporating new events into its interpretative envelope. There are only a small number of competing frames in any public controversy.

We suggest distinguishing 'theme/events' and 'frame' as figure-ground ambiguity: the frame is ground, the theme/event is figure. The same theme. i.e. export ban could be present in different frames, and same frames can accommodate different themes. There may be, however, statistical associations between themes and frames.

Code	Name of Frame
1	National interest
2	National/ regional identity
3	Industrial production of food.
4	Costs / benefit of the crisis
5	Public accountability
6	Food or product safety / Public health
7	Trust
8	Scientific expertise
9	Food Ethics

Examples		
Sponsor	Polarity within the frame	Key metaphor
UK Conservative party, sectors of the press, farmers.	Actions in or against national interests.	War
Just about anybody	Actions which highlight national differences and place domestic practices in a favourable light	"Us" vs. "them", domestic vs. foreign, domestic vs. Europe or globalisation
Vegetarian, organic farmers, food industry.	BSE as a necessary outcome vs. a temporary deviation.	Transgressing the natural boundaries. Messing with nature.
Farmers, victims, corporations, government.	How much does it cost, is it worth the cost, what are the benefits of crisis. Unnecessary crisis.	Waste of money. Financial disasters.
Media, Parliament, NGOs.	Who is responsible, denial of responsibility.	Scapegoating. Image: cow bigger than the Minister.
Retailers, consumers, industry, medical profession.	Is food related to cows safe or unsafe.	Image: agricultural Minister feeds himself / his daughter a hamburger.
Media, NGO, government.	Mistrust in institutions or procedures. Independent vs. dependent institutions. Is the institution or the process trustworthy or not.	Image: MAFF "in bed" with the industry / farming.
Scientific community, government, NGOs.	Is scientific expertise sufficient / conclusive, other forms of expertise. Certain or uncertain knowledge. Quantified risks.	Image: scientist in labs. Quarrel between scientist.
NGOs, religious groups.	Ethical, unethical practice.	Adulteration, messing with nature.

V39bc: SECONDARY FRAME

<u>Commentary</u>: Code up to two secondary frames if any, in decreasing order of importance. Use same list as V39a V40. TREATMENT <u>Commentary</u>: this variable should rate if the article is written focusing in scientific evidence or not presenting scientific evidence at all.

Science focused

V41: STEREOTYPE OF SCIENTIST PRESENTED

None, not applicable	0
Magician and wizard, genius	1
Impartial expert, judge	2
Creator, destroyer, healer, curer (religious metaphors)	3
Heroes, front, frontier, pioneer (military metaphors)	4
People like you and me, next door neighbours	5
Industry scientists. Financially interested.	6
Eccentric, mad scientists	7
Removed, out of touch, absent-minded	8
Mixed stereotypes: heartless, cheat, charlatan	9

<u>Commentary</u>: this variable should assess the general way scientists (explicit mention) are portrayed in the story.

V42: TYPE OF CONTROVERSY

None 0
If a controversy, is the report
Balanced 1
Unbalanced, advocating 2

<u>Commentary</u>: this variable should assess if the article in itself adopts a controversial standpoint, or aims to provoke a controversy

V43: PERSONALISATION

Impersonal/ 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 Personal Focus/ Institutional Human interest

<u>Commentary</u>: rate scale according to the overall impression. Does the story have an emotional appeal/impact?

V44: CROSS REFERENCES (explicit inter-textuality)

To same newspaper in the past 1

To other newspaper 2

Public perceptions of BSE and CJD risk in Europe

To television	3
To radio	4
To other media	5
More than one type	6
Scientific journal/book	7
None	9

V45ab: FORM OF EXPERT CITATION

a. Direct quoteb. Indirect quote1. Yes2. No2. No

V46: CONTEXTUALIZATION OF EXPERT CITATIONS/ REFERENCES

a) Relation among specific sources:

Not applicable:		0
	Same Source	Different Source
Compatible	Identity 1	Convergence 3
Incompatible	Inconsistency 2	Contradiction 4

Unclear: Undecidable, impartial 5

b) Relation between author opinion and source:

Not applicable: 0

	Implicit	Explicit
Compatible with author	Consent	Supporting comment 3
	1	
Incompatible with author	Contrast	Deflating comment
	2	4

Unclear: Undecidable, impartial 5

V47abcd: WARRANTY of THE ARGUMENT

a. Expert mentioned	1. Yes	2. No
b. Data presented	1. Yes	2. No
c. Research study explained	1. Yes	2. No
d. Previous research mentioned	1. Yes	2. No

MANUAL CODING SHEET:

Basic Information	v1	v2	v3	v4
		lane # (F. dinite)	Coder (2 dicite)	
v5	country v6	Item # (5 digits) v7	Coder (2 digits)	newspaper
month (2 digits)	day of month (2 digits)	year (2 digits)		
News play	v8	v9	v10a	v10b
	size of the article	news format	author	author
v11	Size of the article	news ioiniat	autioi	autioi
focus				
The story	v12a	v12b	v13a	v13b
Who				
	main actor	another important actor	reliability	secretive
v13c	v13d	v13e	v13f	v14a
competence	responsibility	power	critique	main themes/event 1
v14b	v14c	v15	v16a	v16b
main themes/event 2	main themes/event 3	Food category	Link BSE - food scares	Link BSE - food scares
v16c	v16d	Where	v17	v18
Link BSE - food scares	Link BSE - food scares		location of events	location of effects
When	v19	v20	To what effect	v21
	time horizon into future	time horizon into past		likelihood of risk/cost
v22	v23	v24	v25	v26
main type of risk/cost	main sufferer	likelihood of benefit	main type of benefit	main winner
Demands & evaluations	v27	v28	v29	v30
evaluations				
			addressee of demand	
. 04	area of demand (a)	author of demand (a)	(a)	area of demand (b)
v31	v32	v33	v34	v35
author of demand (b)	addressee of demand (b)	author of evaluation (a)	direction of evaluation (a)	addressee of evaluation (a)
v36	v37	v38		
author of evaluation (b)	direction of evaluation (b)	addressee of evaluation (b)		
Frames & stereotypes	v39	v39	v39	v40
	Main Frame	Secondary Frame	Other secondary Frame	treatment
v41	v42	v43	v44	v45a
Stereotype of scientist	type of controversy	personalization	cross-reference	form of expert citation (direct quote)
v45b	type of controversy v46a	v46b	v47a	v47b
indirect quote	rolation among accurate	rolation source o	warranty of argument	warranty of argument
munect auote	relation among sources	relation source & author	warranty of argument	warranty of argument

Public perceptions of BSE and CJD risk in Europe

v47c	v47d		
warranty of argument	warranty of argument		